Interaction of Arylmetal Compounds of Group 1B with Copper(1) and Silver(1) Trifluoromethanesulphonate; a Novel Biaryl Synthesis and a Route to Hexanuclear Aryl-CuAg, -AgAu, and -CuAu Clusters

By GERARD VAN KOTEN,* JOHANN T. B. H. JASTRZEBSKI, and JAN G. NOLTES (Institute for Organic Chemistry TNO, P.O. Box 5009, Utrecht, The Netherlands)

Summary Whereas selective biaryl formation is observed when arylcopper compounds $4-\text{MeC}_6\text{H}_4\text{Cu}$, $2-\text{Me}_2\text{NC}_6\text{H}_4\text{Cu}$, and $2-\text{Me}_2\text{NCH}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{Cu}$ are treated in exact 1:1 molar ratio with (CF₃SO₃)Cu, reactions of $2-\text{Me}_2\text{NC}_6\text{H}_4\text{M}$ (M = Cu or Au) with (CF₃SO₃)Cu (2:1) and of Ar_4Au_2Li_2 with (CF₃SO₃)Cu or (CF₃SO₃)Ag (1:2) afford novel hexanuclear cluster compounds of the type Ar_4M_4M'_2(CF_3SO_3)_2.

KNOWN procedures for coupling of aryl groups in arylcopper compounds involve either interaction with $O_{2,1}$ $Cu^{11}X_{2,1,2}$ and RNO_2^1 or thermal decomposition.^{1,3} These reactions often proceed with little selectivity. We now report a novel route for selective formation of biaryls from arylcopper compounds which emerged from our study concerning the influence of the nature of the counter-ion X on the stability of copper cluster compounds of the type $Ar_nCu_{n+m}X_m$ (Ar = aryl).

Reaction of copper(I) halides, CuX, with tetrameric $(2-\text{Me}_2\text{NCH}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_4)_4\text{Cu}_4$ (I)⁴ and polymeric $(2-\text{Me}_2\text{NC}_6\text{H}_4)_n\text{Cu}_n$ (II)⁴ gives stable, well defined complexes of the types (ArCu·CuX)_n and Ar₄Cu₆X₂, respectively.^{2,5} In contrast, reactions of (CF₃SO₃)Cu with (I) and (4-MeC₆H₄)₄Cu₄ (III)[†] (1:1) afford, in addition to Cu⁰, corresponding biaryls (Ar–Ar) in 100% yield; the reaction of (II) with (CF₃SO₃) Cu (1:1), on the other hand, gives both Ar–Ar (85%) and ArH (15%).



SCHEME 1

The presence of stoicheiometric amounts of N- or Pcontaining ligands, such as PPh₃, in the reaction of (I) with $(CF_3SO_3)Cu$ (1:4) inhibits the formation of Ar-Ar as a result of complex formation with $(CF_3SO_3)Cu$ [*e.g.*, $(Ph_3P)_2$ $Cu(CF_3SO_3)$; m.p. 178—179 °C; M (C₆H₆, cryom.) 744 (737)]. In contrast to (III), (I) and (II) do not react with PPh₃.⁶ Because of complex formation with the Me₂NCH₃- and Me_2N -substituents in the biaryls formed, the coupling reactions of (I) and (II) require stoicheiometric amounts of $(CF_3SO_3)Cu$. In the absence of built-in ligands in the aryl nucleus, the arylcopper- $(CF_3SO_3)Cu$ interaction is catalytic; *e.g.*, interaction of (III) with 10 mole % of $(CF_3SO_3)Cu$ affords 4,4'-bitolyl quantitatively.

The quantitative formation of biaryls in the reactions of $(CF_3SO_3)Cu$ with the discrete cluster species (I) and (III) excludes decomposition involving free radicals, but instead points to the occurrence of intramolecular processes leading to pairwise release of aryl groups. The presence of oligomers with odd numbers of aryl groups in polymeric $\operatorname{Ar}_n \operatorname{Cu}_n$ accounts for the formation of ArH in the $\operatorname{Ar}_n \operatorname{Cu}_n(CF_3-SO_3)Cu$ reaction. The formation of a precursor complex (IV) is proposed (Scheme 1) as the first step in biaryl formation. A representative example of such a complex is $\operatorname{Ar}_4\operatorname{Cu}_6(CF_3SO_3)_2$ (V) (Scheme 2) (vide infra).[‡]



 $(Ar = 2 - Me_2NC_6H_4, L = CF_3SO_3)$

One of the possible mechanisms for the formation of (V) is a process involving valence disproportionation inside the Cu_n core followed by reductive elimination of biaryl which would also explain the large influence of the counter-ion on the coupling reactions. The anions attached to the Cu_n core would affect the potentials of the various Cu couples;⁷ the strongly electron-accepting⁸ CF₃SO₃ anion would favour the Cu^{II} oxidation state whereas electron-donating⁸ halide anions, for example, would favour the Cu^I state. The recent⁹ proposal that organocopper(III) compounds are intermediates in the Ullman type coupling of 2-XC₆H₄NO₂ with (CF₃SO₃)Cu must be considered less likely in view of these results.

† Contrary to an earlier report (A. Camus and N. Marsich, J. Organometallic Chem., 1968, 14, 441) o- and p-tolylcopper are tetrameric in freezing benzene.

‡ All compounds gave satisfactory analyses. Complete spectroscopic and degradation data will be published later.

I.r. and n.m.r. spectroscopic data reveal that the structure of (V, $Ar = 2-Me_2NC_6H_4$) is analogous to that of Ar₄Cu₆Br₂^{5,10} with Ar groups spanning triangular faces of an octahedral Cu₆ core by 2e-3c C-Cu and 2e-2c N-Cu bonds and the anions $(Br \text{ or } CF_3SO_3)$ bridging equatorial Cu atoms. Stable hexanuclear complexes Ar₄M₄M'₂(CF₃SO₃)₂ (VI-VIII) have been prepared using either $\operatorname{Ar}_{n}\operatorname{Cu}_{n}$ or $\operatorname{Ar}_{n}\operatorname{Au}_{n}$ and $Ar_4Au_2Li_2$ as starting compounds (Scheme 2).

N.m.r. spectroscopy shows that the Au atoms in Ar₄M₄-Au₂X₂ occupy apical positions which is in line with the strong preference of Au^I for a digonal co-ordination geometry.¹¹ Only one resonance pattern for the Ar protons is observed which confirms a symmetric Ar₄M₄Au₂ skeleton while in X only one I(H-6-107,109 Ag) of 6 Hz (C₆D₆, doublet at 8.06 p.p.m. upon irradiation at δ H-5) is observed. The bridging carbon atom C-1 in (V), (VII), and (VIII) is a centre of chirality [Ar group bridges metal atoms which have different co-ordination geometries, e.g. (V), (VII) and (VIII) and/or are unlike, e.g. (VII) and (VIII)]. The NMe

resonances [δ (C₆D₆), (V), 1.92–2.96 (2×s); (VII), 1.84–2.88 (2 \times s); and (VIII), 1.90–2.79 (2 \times s)] are anisochronous as a result of rate-determining metal-NMe₂ co-ordination (stable prochiral NMe₂ assembly). The NMe resonances in (VII) and (VIII) remain anisochronous up to 90 °C whereas those in (V) coalesce at 40 °C.** This is explained in terms of increased N-M_{eg} bond strength in the AuM (M = Cu or Ag) clusters resulting from a contribution to the bonding of canonical structures such as [Ar₂Au]₂²⁻[M₄X₂]₂+ in which 2e-3c ArAuM bonding has been replaced by 2e-2cArAu interactions. Corresponding high values for isomer shift (3.88 mm s⁻¹) and quadrupole splitting (9.14 mm s⁻¹) in the ¹⁹⁷Au Mössbauer spectrum¹² of Ar₄Au₂Cu₄(CF₃SO₃)₂ (VII) support this idea.

Financial support by Borg-Warner Chemicals, Borg-Warner Corporation, and stimulating discussions with Dr. M. S. Cohen are gratefully acknowledged.

(Received, 6th December 1976; Com. 1326.)

§ I.r. spectroscopy reveals a symmetry below C_{ν_3} [$\nu_4(E)$ 1218s and 1296s cm⁻¹; $\nu_5(E)$ 633m cm⁻¹] for the (CF₃SO₃) anion in (V). Accordingly, (V) is, like Ar₄Cu₆Br₂ (ref. 5) and H₆Cu₆(PPh₃)₆ (M. R. Churchill, J. A. Bezman, J. A. Osborn, and J. Wormald, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1972, **11**, 1818), an 84-electron cluster compound (CF₃SO₃ and Ar groups each contribute 3 electrons to 66 electrons of Cu₆).

¶ Ar₄Au₂Li₂ [according to mol. wt. data in boiling C₆H₆, m.p. 155—160 °C (decomp.)] has been prepared from the reaction of ArLi with Ph₃PAuBr (2:1). 50---55 °C (decomp.)]. Reaction of Ar₄ Au₂Li₂ with Me₃SnBr (l: l) affords polymeric Ar_nAu_n [δ C₆D₆N, 3·10 (NMe), 7·95 (H-6), m.p.

** The NMe protons become isochronous by a process involving Cu-N bond dissociation and inversion at N with concomitant rotation around the C-N bond. A process involving Cu-N bond dissociation followed by rapid rotation of the phenyl nucleus around the axis through C(1)-C(4) which inverts the configuration of C(1) represents a higher energy path (G. van Köten and J. G. Noltes, unpublished results).

¹ For a review see A. E. Jukes, Adv. Organometallic Chem., 1974, 12, 215.

² G. van Koten and J. G. Noltes, J. Organometallic Chem., 1975, 84, 419.

² G. van Koten and J. G. Noltes, J. Organometallic Chem., 1975, 84, 419.
³ G. van Koten and J. G. Noltes, J. Organometallic Chem., 1976, 104, 127.
⁴ G. van Koten and J. G. Noltes, J. Organometallic Chem., 1975, 84, 129; 1975, 85, 105.
⁵ G. van Koten and J. G. Noltes, J. Organometallic Chem., 1975, 102, 551.
⁶ G. van Koten and J. G. Noltes, J. C.S. Chem. Comm., 1972, 452.
⁷ H. O. House, Accounts Chem. Res., 1976, 9, 59; R. G. Pearson and C. D. Gregory, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1976, 98, 4098.
⁸ R. G. Salomon and J. K. Kochi, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1973, 95, 1889.
⁹ T. Cohen and I. Cristea, J. Org. Chem., 1975, 40, 3649; J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1976, 98, 748.
¹⁰ J. M. Guss, R. Mason, K. M. Thomas, G. van Koten, and J. G. Noltes, J. Organometallic Chem., 1972, 40, C79.
¹¹ F. A. Cotton and G. Wilkinson, 'Advanced Inorganic Chemistry,' 3rd edn., Interscience, London, 1972, p. 1053.

¹² M. P. A. Viegers and G. van Koten, unpublished results.